



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,165	07/24/2003	Christopher Cave	I-2-0369.1US 9718	
24374 7590 02/05/2007 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC			EXAMINER	
			LAM, DUNG LE	
UNITED PLAZA 30 SOUTH 17TH	· ·	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA			2617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

1)		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Dung Lam Dung L		10/626,165	CAVE ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a recly be timely filled by the period for reply specified above his less than thirty (39) days are rely within the addatory minimum of birth (30) agay will be considered shrely. If No period for reply specified above, he massimal statistory period will give fave will expire \$5, \$6 (MONTH for me mailing date of this communication of reply specified above, he massimal statistory period will give fave will expire \$5, \$6 (MONTH for me mailing date of this communication of reply within the store extended period for reply will, by statistic cause the application to become ARAMONED (80) 135 (S § 130), earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(s). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10/12/06. 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is finAt. 2b) □ This action is finAt. 2c) □ Siscer this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ဩ Claim(s) is fare allowed. 4 ဩ Claim(s) is fare allowed. 5 ဩ Claim(s) is fare allowed. 6 ဩ Claim(s) is fare objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) is are objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) is are objected to. 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is fare: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheek(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Enterparted of from may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Enterparted of from the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Enterparted of the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Enterparted of the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Enterparted of the provision of								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions the may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.15(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the realizing date of this communication. **Provision of the provision of								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/12/06. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some* c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-982) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of thromal Patent Application (PTO-152)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 3	Status							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/12	<u>2/06</u> .	•					
Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. See The Application (PTO-152)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
4)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Natice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
6 Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.		•					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.	•					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date * Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7 🚨 7							
·	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F						

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8-9, 12-13, 16, 20, 23, 26-28, 29, 31, 35-36, 39, 41, 43, 45, 48-49, 51 and 55-56 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) in view of Velazquez et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,880).

1. Regarding claim **1**, **Blakeney** teaches a communication network for wireless communication with mobile units comprising (Abstract and Figures 1 and 8): a plurality of base stations (12, 14, 16, Fig. 1), each providing duplex wireless communication services in a respective geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations, and an interface connected to the base stations (controller 10, Figs. 1, C7 L47-53), a method for establishing wireless communication comprising: transmitting an omnidirectional sounding pulse from a wireless mobile unit located in a geographic coverage area of at least one of the base stations (Step 218, C27 L23-24); communicating information related to the detected sounding pulse to the interface by each base station detecting the sounding pulse (C27

L24-29); selecting a base station from among the base stations that detected the sounding pulse for mobile unit communication based on the communicated information (C27 L29-39); and continuing the mobile unit's wireless communication via the selected second base station to establish a wireless communication link (C27 L40-48). Although, Blakeney does not explicitly teach that the wireless communication link is a beam.

Velazquez teaches a handoff method in which the base station uses beamforming for communication link (Col. 6, In. 65 - Col. 7 In 15, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Blakeney's teaching of the handover method and Velazquez's teaching of using beam forming to establish the communication link and at the same time reduce the system's interference as suggested by Velazquez (see Col. 5 In. 65- col. 7 Ln5).

2. Regarding claim 23, Blakeney teaches a communication network for wireless communication with mobile units comprising (Abstract and Figures 1 and 8): a plurality of base stations (12, 14, 16, Fig. 1), each providing duplex wireless communication services in a geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations; at least one base station interface connected to the base stations (controller 10, Figs. 1, C7 L47-53); each base station configured to detect sounding pulses emitted from mobile units in order to establishment wireless communication with such mobile units; each base station configured to communicate, information related to a detected sounding pulse from a mobile unit to a selected interface (BS sends Report Msg to MTSO, C27 L24-29); each

Art Unit: 2617

interface, when selected, configured to select a base station for wireless communication with a mobile unit that transmitted a sounding pulse based on the information communicated from each base station that detected the sounding pulse emitted from that mobile unit (C27 L29-39); and each base station configured to direct a communication link when selected to a respective mobile unit to establish wireless communication (C27 L29-48). Although, Blakeney does not explicitly teach that the wireless communication link is a beam. Velazquez teaches a handoff method in which the base station uses beamforming for communication link (Col. 6, In. 65 - Col. 7 In 15, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Blakeney's teaching of the handover method and Velazquez's teaching of using beam forming to establish the communication link and at the same time reduce the system's interference as suggested by Velazquez (see Col. 5 L65- col. 7 L5).

- 3. Regarding claim 35, 48 and 55, they are similar to the scope of claims 1 and 23. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 and 23.
- 4. Regarding claim 9, Blakeney and Velazquez teach the method of claim 1, wherein: Blakeney further teaches the transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse is from each of a plurality of mobile units (Step 218, C27 L23-24); the communicating information includes communicating information related to each distinguishable sounding pulse from each respective mobile unit detected by a base station to a respective selecting interface for base station selection with the respective mobile unit (C27 L24-29); the base station selection includes selecting a base station by

each respective selecting interface for each respective mobile unit communication based on the information related to the distinguishable detected sounding pulse of the respective mobile unit from each base station that detected a distinguishable sounding pulse of the respective mobile unit (C27 L29-39); and for each respective mobile unit for which at least one base station received a distinguishable sounding pulse, directing a communication beam from the respective selected base station to the mobile unit to establish wireless communication (**Blakeney** C27 L40-48 and Velazquez Col. 6, In. 65 - Col. 7 In 15, Col. 8, In 25-40).

- 5. Regarding claims 13, **27, 28, 36 and 56**, they are subsets of claims 1 and 9. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 and 9.
- 6. Regarding claim 16, Blakeney and Velazquez teach all the limitations of the method of claim 1, Velazquez teaches the mobile unit is equipped with a global positioning system (GPS) and transmitting of mobile unit location information associated with the sounding pulse transmitted by the mobile unit and/or includes transmitting of identification information associated with the sounding pulse transmitted the mobile unit (C8 L20-37). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for to add Valazquez's GPS capability to Blakeney's handoff method to speed up the location positioning of the handset and thus to speed up a faster handoff process.

Art Unit: 2617

7. Regarding claim **4**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **3**

Page 6

communication beam that carries common channels that encompasses the relative

but is not explicit that Node B is configured to operate its antenna to form a

location of a plurality of UEs so that the formed beam provides common channel service

to a plurality of UEs. Nonetheless, it is a practical design system to service a plurality of

UEs rather than a single one to increase capacity of the system. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was

made to service multiple UEs to maximize system capacity.

8. Regarding claims 6, 12, 26, 39 and 41, they are similar to the scope of claim 4.

Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 4.

9. Regarding claims 20, 31, 45 and 51, they are similar to the scope of claims 16.

Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 16.

10. Regarding claim 8, Blakeney and Velazquez teach all the limitations of the

method of claim 1. Blakeney further teaches the mobile units are each configured to

monitor the power level of a directed communication beam from a base station that is

received by the mobile unit and to transmit an omnidirectional sounding pulse if the

monitored power level falls below a predefined level (Step 216 and 218 of Fig. 8).

Art Unit: 2617

- 11. Regarding claims **29, 43 and 49**, they are similar to the scope of claim 8. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 8.
- 12. Claim 2-3, 5, 10-11, 24-25, 27, 37-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) and Velazquez et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,880) in view of Bark et al. (US Patent No. 6445917).
- 13. Regarding claim 2 and 10, Blakeney and Velazquez teach all the limitations of the method of claim 1 but do not explicit teach that the radio network is a UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN), each base station is a Node B, the interface is a Radio Network Controller (RNC) and the mobile unit is a mobile User Equipment (UE); In an analogous art, Bark teaches a UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN) (24, see Figure 1A), each base station is a Node B (28), the interface is a Radio Network Controller (RNC) 26 and the mobile unit is a mobile User Equipment (3G terminology); the communicating information is between Node Bs and the RNC via an lub or combination lub/lur interface (Col. 5, lines 44-45, and 3G standards); the second base station selection is performed by the RNC by selecting a second Node B (col. 8, lines 50-55); and the UE's communication continued via the second Node B is via a Uu interface (inherent). UMTS is a system used in the 3G which is widely used. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify the handover method to also establish this handover method in the UMTS system to keep the network system up-to-date with the current technology.

- 14. Regarding claims **24 and 27**, they have corresponding limitations to claim 2. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 2.
- 15. Regarding claim 3, Blakeney, Velazquez and Bark teach all the limitations of the method of claim 2. Velazquez further teaches a step of determining a relative location of the UE with respect to the beamforming antenna of the selected second Node B based on information related to the detected sounding pulse whereby the continuing of the UE's communication via the second Node B includes operating the selected Node B's antenna to form a communication beam for at least one dedicated channel covering a selected portion of the coverage area serviced by the second Node B that encompasses the determined relative location of the UE (Col. 7, In 25-68, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Blakeney and Bark's teaching of the handover method in the UMTS system and Velazquez's teaching of locating the UE and directing the beam toward the UE to reduce the system's interference.
- 16. Regarding claims 5, 11, 25, 38 and 40, they are similar to the scope of claim 3. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 3.

Art Unit: 2617

17. Claims 7, 14-15, 17-19, 21-22, 30, 32-34, 42-43, 46-47, 50 and 52 - 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) and Velazquez et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,880) in view of Anderson et al. (US Patent No. 5396541).

Page 9

- 18. Regarding claim **7**, **Blakeney** teach all the limitations of the method of claim **1**. Blakeney does not explicitly teach that the method is restarted if the mobile unit does not receive a directed communication beam from a base station within a predefined time period from its transmitting of an omni-directional sounding pulse. However, Anderson teaches a method of adjusting the power to a higher or lower level if the mobile is far or close from the base stations respectively (Col. 9, lines 50-15). In addition, it is also well known in the field of communications that after a failed transmission, one of ordinary skill in the art may use back-off algorithm to resend the signal in a predefined period of time. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine **Blakeney**'s handoff method and **Anderson**'s teaching of a restarting the process of sending the signal (if the mobile is far away from the base station) at a predefined period to increase the chance of a successful handoff.
- 19. Regarding claims **14** and **42**, they are similar to the scope of claim 7. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 7.
- 1. Regarding claim **15**, **Blakeney** and **Velazquez** teach all the limitations of the method of claim 83 but silent on a mobile ID. In an analogous art, **Anderson** further teaches that the mobile unit is configured to transmit an omnidirectional sounding pulse that includes mobile unit identification information (the mobile responds to a poll

Application/Control Number: 10/626,165 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

message with its identification, Col. 12, lines 52-58). Therefore, one skill in the art would combine Blakeney and Velazquez's teaching of handoff with Anderson's teaching of the mobile identification to make it easier to identify where the signal is coming from and thus facilitate the handoff process.

- 20. Regarding claims 19, 32 and 53, they are similar to the scope of claim 15. Therefore they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 15.
- 2. Regarding claim 17 and 52, **Blakeney** and **Velazquez** teach all the limitations of the method of claim **9/48** but not explicitly teach that the transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse includes transmitting a subsequent sounding pulse of increased power by the mobile unit if handover does not occur within a predefined time period from its transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse. However, Anderson teaches a method of adjusting the power to a higher or lower level if the mobile is far or close from the base stations respectively (Col. 9, lines 50-15). In addition, it is also well known in the field of communications that after a failed transmission, one of ordinary skill in the art may use back-off algorithm to resend the signal in a predefined period of time. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine **Blakeney**'s handoff method and **Anderson**'s teaching of a increasing the signal power (if the mobile is far away from the base station) at a predefined period to increase the chance of a successful handoff.
- 3. Regarding claim **18**, **Blakeney and Velazquez** all the limitations of the method of claim **9** but fail to expressly teach that the transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse includes transmitting a series of omnidirectional sounding pulses of increasing

Art Unit: 2617

power from the mobile unit. However, Anderson teaches a method of adjusting the power to a higher or lower level if the mobile is far or close from the base stations respectively (Col. 9, lines 50-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine **Blakeney**'s handoff method and **Anderson**'s teaching of retransmitting the signal with increasing power (assuming the mobile is far away from the base station) to increase the chance of a successful handoff.

- 21. Regarding claims 22, 34, 47 and 54, they are similar to the scope of claim 18. Therefore they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 18.
- 22. Regarding claims 21, 30, 33, 43, 46 and 50, they are similar to the scope of claim 18. Therefore they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 17.
- 23. Claims 23, 35, 48 and 551 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farwell et al. (US Patent No. 5396541) in view of Tarallo (US Patent No. 5054035).
- 24. Regarding claim 1, Blakeney teaches a communication network for wireless communication with mobile units comprising: a plurality of base stations (BSs 102-104, Fig. 1), each providing duplex wireless communication services in a respective geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations, and an interface connected to the base stations, a method for establishing wireless communication comprising: transmitting an

second base station (C4 L2-5).

Art Unit: 2617

omnidirectional sounding pulse from a wireless mobile unit located in a geographic coverage area of at least one of the base stations (MS sends synchronization pattern to BSs in response to start handoff message from BS, C3 L37- 51); communicating detected signal strength to the interface by each base station detecting the sounding pulse (C3 L51-65). Although Farwell does not explicitly teach that the detected signal strength is related to the synchronization pattern. Tarallo teaches that the synchronizations patterns are used to derive the signal quality in handoff process (Abstract). Therefore, one skill in the art at the time of the invention would combine Farwell's handover method with Tarallo's explicit teaching of deriving the signal strengths from the synchronization pattern to obtain the signal measurement quickly. Thus, in view of Tarallo's clarified invention, Farwell further teaches the step of communicating information related to the detected sounding pulse to the interface by each base station detecting the sounding pulse (sending back signal strength based on synchronization pattern, C3 L60-64); selecting the second base station from the base stations that detected the sounding pulse based on the communicated information (C3 L65- C4 L2); and continuing the mobile unit's wireless communication via the selected

Page 12

25. Regarding claim 23, 35, 48 and 55, they are similar to the scope of claim 1. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-6497.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DL

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER